IMAGINOLOGICAL EXAMS OF THE FRONTAL SINUS IN HUMAN IDENTIFICATION
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RESUMO
Introdução: A identificação humana é o processo pelo qual se estabelece a identidade de um indivíduo por meio da comparação entre dados registrados em momentos diferentes. Tratando-se do crânio humano, as regiões mais utilizadas na individualização do mesmo são as áreas da sela túnica, no osso esfenóide, as células mastoides e o seio frontal. Objetivo: O presente trabalho teve como objetivo, através de uma revista da literatura, identificar e descrever as principais técnicas desenvolvidas para a identificação humana, a partir de exames de imagens dos seios frontais, em virtude da contribuição em potencial da radiologia odontológica nesse contexto. Fonte de dados: Para isso, foi realizada uma busca bibliográfica, no PUBMED, a partir do uso das seguintes terminologias: frontal sinus, radiology and forensic. Foram incluídos os artigos publicados entre 2007 e 2017 e excluídos artigos de revisão de literatura, cartas e relatos de caso.

Síntese dos dados: Em um total de vinte e três artigos selecionados analisou-se a amostra quanto à nacionalidade, homogeneidade, sexo e faixa etária dos indivíduos, além dos tipos de exames de imagem que constituíram essa amostra, seja de origem radiográfica ou tomográfica, e suas diferentes projeções e modalidades. Além disso, classificou-se a literatura quanto às diferentes técnicas e parâmetros empregados para a identificação, sejam eles quantitativos ou morfológicos.

Conclusão: Através desta busca, conclui-se que o perfil da pesquisa científica mundial, voltada para a identificação humana através de exames de imagem dos seios frontais, utiliza amostras homogêneas, de ambos os sexos e ampla faixa etária, empregando-se majoritariamente a Radiografia Extra-oral Póstero-Anterior e parâmetros qualitativos e quantitativos para a identificação.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Seio Frontal. Radiologia Forense. Odontologia Legal. Identificação Humana

ABSTRACT
Introduction: Human identification is the process by which the identity of an individual is established by comparing data recorded at different times. In the case of the human skull, the most used areas for the individualization are the Turkish Chair, the sphenoid bone, the mastoid cells, and the frontal sinus. Objective: The aim of the present work was to identify and describe the main techniques developed for human identification through a literature review, based on imaging of the frontal sinuses, due to the potential contribution of dental radiology in this context. Sources of data: For this, a bibliographic search was performed in PUBMED, using the following terminologies: frontal sinus, radiology, and forensic. Articles published between 2007 and 2017 were included, literature articles, letters, and case reports were excluded. Synthesis of data: A total of twenty-three articles were selected, the sample was analyzed for the nationality, homogeneity, sex, and age of the individuals, as well as for the types of imaging tests that constituted this sample, whether of radiographic or tomographic origin and their different projections and modalities. In addition, the literature was classified as to the different techniques and parameters used for identification, whether quantitative or morphological. Conclusion: After this research, it was possible to conclude that the profile of the world scientific research aimed at human identification through imaging of the frontal sinuses, consists of homogenous samples, of both sexes, and a wide age group, using mostly posterioanterior extraoral radiographic examination and qualitative and quantitative parameters for identification.
INTRODUCTION

Human identification is the process by which individuals are by comparing data recorded at different times. However, for the method of identification adopted to be reliable, it needs to follow biological and technical requirements. Thus, essential biological requirements must be considered, such as uniqueness, immutability, and permanence, while the technical requirements are based on practicality and classifiability.

In the case of the human skull, the regions most studied for identification, due to their particularities, are the sella turcica in the sphenoid bone, the mastoid cells, and the frontal sinus. The frontal sinus presents with great variation among human beings; it is considered to be one of the most individualistic anatomical structures of the skeleton, in such a way that even monozygotic twin siblings have frontal sinuses which differ in their characteristics. These are pneumatized cavities, which form at around one year of life but become visible in radiographic images between seven and nine years old, reaching its final configuration at 20 years of age. It is important to note that the frontal sinuses are present in 96% of the adult population, and studies in some populations have demonstrated the bilateral absence of the frontal sinuses at a very low frequency, for example, 0.72% in the Turkish sample.

The first reported case of human identification by frontal sinus analysis was dated as 1925, when Culbert and Law used thirteen points that were considered as “sinus impressions”, in addition to variations in other accessory sinuses in the Turkish chair and mastoid process. Since then, many researchers have created different frontal sinus classification systems based on techniques to measure the size, volume, and asymmetry of sinuses.

The primary methods of human identification, determined by The International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL) protocol, consist of analyzes of fingerprints, odontological characteristics, and DNA (Deoxyribonucleic Acid). Within Forensic Dentistry, the expert has several methods that can aid in the identification of individuals, such as histopathological, radiographic, and immunological methods. Thus, the selection of the most appropriate identification process should be made individually, considering its particularity.

In this field of expertise, dental radiology is of great use in cases of human cadavers in advanced stages of putrefaction, carbonized, or skeletonized, for example, as is often the case in cases of mass disasters. Other conventional methods of identification, such as papillary analysis, are not possible in these conditions because it can only be performed where soft tissues are preserved. In addition, when antemortem dental records are not available or when the teeth are no longer present at post-mortem, an alternative is to use extraoral imaging tests.

Therefore, the use of computed tomography (CT) is recommended for the evaluation of the paranasal sinuses, since from the three-dimensional and volumetric analyses of the sinuses, the measurements are more accurate compared to conventional radiographs. In addition, the visualization between small differences in tissue densities is more precise, having values of gray intensity assigned to each structure, and there is no overlap of the anatomical structures.

The use of imaging tests in human identification, comparing post-mortem and antemortem data, is already routine in the medicolegal institutes. In view of this, dental documentation, especially intraoral and extraoral radiographs of the craniofacial complex, can support the processes of human identification, in the case of cadavers without an identity confirmed by fingerprints. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to report, through a review of the literature, the main techniques developed for human identification, based on imaging of the frontal sinuses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

An integrative literature review was carried out in the PUBMED database, searching for the terms “frontal sinus” AND “radiology” AND “forensic,” totaling 70 articles. For inclusion in the review, the full text of the journal needed to be available in English (n = 67), published between 2007 and 2018 (n = 45), and present in its methodology, a correlation between radiographic methods and human identification (n = 38). On the other hand, articles on literature reviews (n = 37), letters (n = 34), and case reports (n = 30) were excluded, as well as articles focusing only on sex determination (n = 23), leaving a sample of 23 articles.

![Figure 1: Flowchart of integrative literature review.](image)
Table 1: Sample characteristics of the articles included in the sample according to the variables studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Nationality (sample)</th>
<th>Homogeneity in relation to nationality (sample)</th>
<th>Average age in years (sample)</th>
<th>Sex (sample)</th>
<th>Radiographic exam used</th>
<th>Identification Technique Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tatlisumak et al (2007)</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>Mixed sample with female prevalence</td>
<td>MSCT</td>
<td>FSS System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameriere et al (2008)</td>
<td>North Irish</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>Mixed sample with male prevalence</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Asymmetry, SS, CUB, PS and SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Besana et al (2010)</td>
<td>Canadian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>Uninformed</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Determine the independence of sinus traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uthman et al (2010)</td>
<td>Iraqi</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Uninformed</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>CT (uninformed)</td>
<td>FSS System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David et al (2010)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Width, asymmetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patil et al (2012)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>PA Radiography (Waters)</td>
<td>Shape, NS, width, the distance between the maximum lateral limit and the highest point and between the upper limit, orbital cavities and the highest points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Sample characteristics of the articles included in the sample according to the variables studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Nationality (sample)</th>
<th>Homogeneity in relation to nationality (sample)</th>
<th>Average age in years (sample)</th>
<th>Sex (sample)</th>
<th>Radiographic exam used</th>
<th>Identification Technique</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.²¹ (2013)</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mixed sample with male prevalence</td>
<td>CT (uninformed)</td>
<td>Height, width, volume, the distance between the uppermost points, AP depth, AMB, shape, and UEC</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikam et. Al ²² (2015)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Above 20</td>
<td>Mixed sample with male prevalence</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Height and maximum width in relation to the different quadrants drawn from a baseline at the margin of the orbits and a tangent line</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaini et al.²⁴ (2015)</td>
<td>Brazilian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>CBCT</td>
<td>Superposition</td>
<td>Non-quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cossellu et al.²⁵ (2015)</td>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>Mixed sample with female prevalence</td>
<td>CBCT</td>
<td>Reconstructio, asymmetry, SS, height, width, volume and surface</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akhlaghi et al.²⁶ (2016)</td>
<td>Iranian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Above 20</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>Paranasal CT</td>
<td>Height, width, AP diameter, NS</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soman et al.²⁶ (2016)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Above 14</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Asymmetry, SS, CUB, PS and SC</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabelo et al.²⁶ (2016)</td>
<td>Brazilian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>Mixed sample with female prevalence</td>
<td>Frontal and Lateral Radiographies</td>
<td>FSS System adapted</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soares et al.²⁷ (2016)</td>
<td>Brazilian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>PA and Lateral Radiographies and CBCT</td>
<td>FSS System adapted</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors (Year)</td>
<td>Nationality (sample)</td>
<td>Homogeneity in relation to nationality (sample)</td>
<td>Average age in years (sample)</td>
<td>Sex (sample)</td>
<td>Radiographic exam used</td>
<td>Identification Technique</td>
<td>Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suman et al. 28 (2016)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Asymmetry, area, SS, CUB, PS and SC.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tatlisumak et al. 29 (2017)</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Above 20</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>MSCT</td>
<td>Height, width, AP length and volume</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buvuk et al. 3 (2017)</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Uninformed</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Height and width</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verma et al. 30 (2017)</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Balanced mixed sample</td>
<td>PA Radiography</td>
<td>Asymmetry</td>
<td>Non-quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Souza Jr et al. 31 (2018)</td>
<td>Brazilian</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Above 20</td>
<td>Uninformed</td>
<td>CT (uninformed)</td>
<td>Automatic segmentation</td>
<td>Non-quantitative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Synthesis of data

In the 23 articles selected, the different nationalities of the samples obtained were distributed according to the variables described in Table 1. Only four populations were studied in more than one article, with India being the most prominent country. Only one article applied the methodology to individuals of different nationalities, Peruvian and Canadian, worrying about the use of a heterogeneous sample, in order to observe any possible significant differences in the obtained patterns. As for the sample, a broad age group was used in the studies, 14 years old was the youngest age studied. In turn, a sample aged above 20 years old was used in most studies. Among the articles that reported the age group, the average age was found to be 37 years old, 21.7% of the articles reported only the initial age, and 30.4% did not provide information about age.

On the other hand, in relation to the possible differences that could be found between the sexes, all the articles opted to use a mixed sample. In this scenario, almost half of the studies, 43.5%, used the same number of imaging exams from men and women, 30.4% used a mixed sample, but there was a prevalence for one sex. The remaining 26.1% did not contain any information about sex, mostly because they came from an archaeological sample of dry skulls.

It is important to mention that all these studies used images of an intact frontal sinus, excluding those of individuals with pathological processes, anomalies, traumas, or a history of orthodontic and surgical treatments that could compromise their anatomy or physiology.

Regarding the imaging tests used, we highlight postoperative extraoral radiography (52.2%), followed by cone-beam computed tomography (13.0%), medical computed tomography (13.0%), and on a smaller scale, other conventional extraoral radiographs.

The methodologies used in each article were divided into two types: metric methods that use quantitative parameters such as height, width, and volume of the frontal sinuses for the evaluation of these structures, and nonmetric or morphological methods that use the frontal sinus in the process of identification, as in the case of the superposition of radiographic images. The data collected in relation to the techniques used for identification in the 23 articles studied showed that the highest percentage was for mixed analysis evaluations that used both metric and morphological parameters (43.5%), followed by those who used only quantitative (30.4%), and finally, those who used nonquantitative variables (26.1%).

DISCUSSION

Regarding the study samples, it could be stated that approaching heterogeneous populations in the same study to evaluate the ethnic factor inherent in each technique was extremely deficient. It was perceived that this was used in only one of the articles analyzed, which was shown by one of the deficiencies found in the profile of the scientific research in this area, which could be explained by the difficulty of exchanging and accessing imaging exams from other countries.

Regarding gender, the results showed that the importance of the use of exams from individuals of both sexes is consolidated, since all the studies that considered this characteristic used a mixed sample, and the majority had an equal division between the sexes.

In addition, the age of the sample was also a determining factor for effective identification, since the final configuration of the frontal sinuses is only reached after the age of 20. However, Tatlisumak et al. explored the pattern of frontal sinus configuration according to age, based on the belief that the frontal sinuses continue to expand to a later age, due to other factors and the influence of mechanical chewing factors. In this case, it was agreed that there is a decrease in the frontal sinus area from the age of 70 years old, but from the analysis performed, it was observed that the authors concluded that new studies need to be performed with less limited samples and looking at different backgrounds.

Regarding the type of image exam selected, it was verified that more than half of the studies used PA (posteroanterior) radiography. Thus, it was noted that conventional extraoral radiography is still the most viable and most used in the research, also emphasizing the increasing use, but still at a smaller scale, of threedimensional imaging resources, such as concomitant computed tomography.

In relation to the methodologies and identification parameters of the frontal sinus, the largest number of analyzes used both quantitative and morphological parameters. Among all the parameters used to measure and classify the frontal sinuses, height and width were widely used to obtain asymmetry indices, in addition to asymmetry, depth values, volume, and the anteroposterior diameter of the frontal sinuses were repeated in several articles from the present analysis.

In 1987, Yoshino developed one of the most widespread classification methods in the world, taking into consideration the area of the breasts, bilateral asymmetry, the superiority of the area on one side, the contour of the upper border, the
presence of partial septa, supraorbital cells, and orbital areas. This methodology is still used in the last years in different populations and with some adaptations. In 2008, Cameriere et al. replaced some of the variables, such as asymmetry, with indices corresponding to the frontal sinus areas and the orbits corresponding to each side, performing tests of image proportions.

As for tomographic reconstructions, in 2007 Tatlisumak developed a methodology that was subsequently used in different studies and populations for human identification with a high degree of agreement and acceptance, using qualitative and quantitative parameters. This methodology is known as the FSS System, this method uses a range of twelve standardized parameters: presence of the frontal and left frontal sinuses, central frontal sinus, presence and type of interosseous septum, type of deviation within the transverse septum, number of incomplete and complete intra-septae within the right and left frontal sinus, the number of scaling (curved cuts) of the right and left frontal sinus. Such standardization facilitates the collection and transfer of data so that this methodology has been tested and improved in different populations.

Cone beam computed tomography allowed Cossellu et al. to correlate quantitative variables through statistical analysis, reaching a high efficacy in the analyzes of the same observer when compared with data between the different examiners, which is not a problem since the identification is made by a single expert.

In 2016, Soares et al. analyzed the results obtained from radiographic and tomographic images and found a greater concordance in the tomographic data in relation to the quantitative parameters. However, in both the imaging modalities, reliability, and reproducibility were satisfactory. In this study, it was suggested that the distance between the highest points of the right and left sinuses be excluded among the identification parameters. Rabelo tested this classification system on frontal and lateral extraoral radiographs, obtaining good results regarding the applicability if the radiographs were acquired after rigorous calibration and specialized training. There was also a greater efficacy and reproducibility in the analyzes made by the same examiner when compared with data between the different examiners, which is not a problem since the identification is made by a single expert.

Moreover, six articles opted for identification through non-quantitative parameters, that were purely morphological. The overlapping of radiographic images through tracings, without the use of metric variables, has great value for the everyday expert. This technique has been improved using digital radiographs and software that allow the outline of the trace to be no longer made in an empirical way, which could lead to false identification. In relation to tomography, the superposition technique is also gaining momentum, since the superposition of three-dimensional reconstructions can be done when there is a tomographic image of the individual at the antemortem moment, as was done in Beaini’s research.

CONCLUSION

The quality of a specific approach should not be fully evaluated by the accuracy of the technique since its reproducibility must also be proven. There is a need for human frontal sinus identification methods to be extended to other populations in order to evaluate possible variations, thus contributing to the increased reliability of the technique.

Additional and valuable information, such as nationality, sex, and age patterns, can be added to the current multifactorial methods, aiming at the improvement and development of less invasive techniques; increasing its precision through the use of more modern three-dimensional images; increasing the fidelity of the method.

Thus, the encouragement of scientific research in this field is of vital importance in Brazil, and dental radiology is a potential field of research, aiming at increasing the accuracy of the techniques used for human identification in expert exams involving frontal sinuses.
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